

Random Matrices I

Saturday, April 12, 2025 12:21 AM
 Focus: spectrum / eigenvalue distribution

- Motivation:
 - 1950s: E. Wigner nuclear physics: spectrum of heavy atoms \approx eigenvalues of random matrix
 - General tool to understand random operators / large disordered Hamiltonians
 - Statistics: data analysis, hypothesis testing, social network
 - Number theory: zeros of Riemann zeta function = eigenvalue distribution?
- Particular models: iid matrix; Wigner matrix $X_{ij} = X_{ji}$ (symmetric); Covariance matrix XX^T
 - $X_{ij} = \overline{X_{ji}}$ (Hermitian) \approx iid matrix
 - Using ϵ -nets; also for Wigner matrices

In Lec 3, we have seen: $\mathbb{P}[\|X\|_2 > C\sqrt{n} + t] \leq e^{-t^2}$, for iid matrix

$$\|X\|_2 = \sup_{\|u\|_2=1} \|Xu\|_2 = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\lambda_i|$$

For Wigner: all $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$

$\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$

Question: Joint distribution, precisely? $\mathbb{P}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = C(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$? (In some sense, yes!)

What does it look like, globally? $\int \dots \int f(\lambda) d\lambda \rightarrow \int f d\mu$, for $f \in C_c$. $\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{\lambda_i} \rightarrow \mu \right]$ in vague topology (First order understanding)

What does it look like, locally? Edge: $(\lambda_i - c\sqrt{n})n^{3/4} \rightarrow ?$ (statistics / computer science / combinatorics)

Bulk: # eigenvalues in $[a\sqrt{n} - \frac{\epsilon}{2}, a\sqrt{n} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}] \rightarrow ?$ (Physics / number theory)

Many of these limiting objects are first found in certain matrix models, then universal.

This week: global law

Matrix: Wigner symmetric: $X_{ij} = X_{ji}$; X_{ij} for all i, j independent; $\mathbb{E} X_{ij} = 0$, $\text{Var} X_{ij} = 1$ for i, j ; $\mathbb{E} X_{ii} = c$, $\text{Var} X_{ii} < C$

Hermitian: $X_{ij} = \overline{X_{ji}}$ ($\mathbb{E} X_{ij} = 0$)

(For simplicity, think of Ruderman : $\mathbb{P}(X_{ij} = 1) = \mathbb{P}(X_{ij} = -1) = \frac{1}{2}$)

Moment Method: $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^k = \text{tr} X^k / n$, want $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^k \rightarrow \int \lambda^k d\mu(\lambda)$ for some μ

$\text{tr} X = \sum_{i=1}^n X_{ii}$, mean zero, $\text{var} \rightarrow n$, $n^{3/2} \text{tr} X \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (in probability, or almost surely)

$\text{tr} X^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n X_{ij} X_{ji} = \sum_{i,j=1}^n |X_{ij}|^2$, $\mathbb{E} \text{tr} X^2 = n^2$, $\text{Var}(\text{tr} X^2) \sim n^2$, $n^2 \text{tr} X^2 \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$\text{tr} X^3 = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n X_{ij} X_{jk} X_{ki}$ (in Hermitian), $\mathbb{E} \text{tr} X^3 = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n \mathbb{E} X_{ij} X_{jk} X_{ki} = 0$ (i.i.d. entries)

$\text{tr} X^4 = \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^n X_{ij} X_{jk} X_{kl} X_{li}$, $\mathbb{E} X_{ij} X_{jk} X_{kl} X_{li} = 0$, if $\exists e \in \{i, j, k, l\}$ appear exactly once in $(i, j), (j, k), (k, l), (l, i)$

\Rightarrow most i, j, k, l are $i, i, i, i \Rightarrow \mathbb{E} \text{tr} X^4 = \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^n \mathbb{E} X_{ij} X_{jk} X_{kl} X_{li} = \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^n \mathbb{E} X_{ij}^2 X_{jk} X_{kl} X_{li} = \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^n \mathbb{E} X_{ij}^2 \mathbb{E} X_{jk} \mathbb{E} X_{kl} \mathbb{E} X_{li} = n^3 \mathbb{E} X_{ij}^2 = 3n$

$\text{Var}(\text{tr} X^4) = O(n^4)$

compute directly: $\mathbb{E}(\text{tr} X^4)^2 = \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^n \sum_{i',j',k',l'=1}^n \mathbb{E} X_{ij} X_{jk} X_{kl} X_{li} X_{i'j'} X_{j'k'} X_{k'l'} X_{l'i'} = (\mathbb{E} \text{tr} X^4)^2 + O(n^4)$

Main term: $i, j = i, j$ or $i, j = i, j$

$\Rightarrow \frac{\text{tr} X^k}{n^k} \rightarrow 2$ (in prob / a.s.)

$(X_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ non upper-left corner $X^{(n)}$

almost sure convergence holds if $\sum_{i,j=1}^n \mathbb{P}[|\lambda_i - \lambda_j| > \epsilon] < \infty$ for any $\epsilon > 0$ (Borel-Cantelli)

$\neq 0$ when $(i, j) = (i, j), (k, l) = (k, l)$

$\Rightarrow n^{-k} \text{tr} X^k \rightarrow 0$ (can also use concentration inequality)

For general k :

$\text{tr} X^k = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k=1}^n X_{i_1 i_2} X_{i_2 i_3} \dots X_{i_{k-1} i_k} X_{i_k i_1}$

$\mathbb{E} X_{i_1 i_2} \dots X_{i_{k-1} i_k} = 0$ if $\exists e \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, appear exactly once in $(i_1, i_2) \dots (i_{k-1}, i_k)$

\Rightarrow at most $\frac{k}{2}$ different edges among $(i_1, i_2) \dots (i_{k-1}, i_k)$

k odd: $\mathbb{E} \text{tr} X^k = 0$

k even: $\mathbb{E} \text{tr} X^k \approx \#$ nested trees with $\frac{k}{2}$ edges

Dyck word: $(()) (()) (())$

$C_{k/2}$: # of Dyck words of length $k = \frac{k!}{(k/2)! (k/2)!}$ (Catalan number)

$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E} \text{tr} X^k \approx C_{k/2} n^{k/2+1} \approx C_{k/2} n^{k/2+1}$

$n^{-k/2} \cdot \mathbb{E} \text{tr} X^k \approx C_{k/2}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

(upgrade to in prob / a.s. convergence: $\text{Var} \text{tr} X^k = O(n^{k/2+1})$)

proof: up-right path from $(1,0)$ to $(\frac{k}{2}, \frac{k}{2})$ below $y=x+1$

$\#$ paths: $\binom{k}{k/2} - \binom{k}{k/2-1}$

$\#$ of paths from $(1,1)$ to $(\frac{k}{2}, \frac{k}{2})$

$\#$ of paths from $(1,1)$ to $(\frac{k}{2}-1, \frac{k}{2}-1)$

For what measure μ , $\int \lambda^k d\mu(\lambda) = C_{k/2}$ k even?

$\mu_{sc} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{4-y^2} dy$

Claim: $\int_{-2}^2 \lambda^k d\mu_{sc}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{odd} \\ C_{k/2} & \text{even} \end{cases}$

proof: odd: by symmetry

even: $y = 2 \cos \theta$

$\int_{-2}^2 \lambda^k d\mu_{sc}(\lambda) = \int_0^\pi \cos^k \theta \cdot 2 \sin^2 \theta \cdot 2 d\theta = \int_0^\pi \frac{2^{k+2}}{\pi} \cos^k \theta \sin^2 \theta d\theta$

$\int_0^\pi \cos^k \theta d\theta = \pi \cdot \frac{1}{2} \frac{3}{4} \dots \frac{k}{k}$, by induction

(Wigner semi-circle law) Wigner symmetric/Hermitian (independent above diagonal; mean ≈ 0 , var $= 1$ above diagonal; bounded mean, var on diagonal)

$\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ be eigenvalues of upper-left $n \times n$ corner of infinite symmetric/Hermitian Wigner matrix

For $f \in C_c$, $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(\lambda_i) \rightarrow \int f d\mu_{sc}$ in expectation / in probability / almost surely

$(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{\lambda_i}) \rightarrow \mu_{sc}$ in vague topology / almost surely

Also, since μ_{sc} is a continuous distribution

$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \rightarrow \int \lambda d\mu_{sc} = 0$ in expectation / in probability / almost surely.

Note: does not provide any upper bound for operator norm $= \max\{|\lambda_1|, |\lambda_n|\}$ (Lower bound: $\mathbb{P}(\lambda_1 < (2-\epsilon)\sqrt{n}) \rightarrow 0$, by semi-circle law)

(Bair-Yin) $\frac{1}{n} \|X^{(n)}\|_2 \rightarrow 2$ almost surely, if X_{ij} for i, j are iid, with $\mathbb{E} X_{ij}^2 < \infty$

(can alter diagonal X_{ii} entries, so be iid with $\mathbb{E} X_{ii}^2 < \infty$)

Upper bound proof idea: $\|X^{(n)}\|_2 = \max\{|\lambda_1|, |\lambda_n|\} \leq \text{tr}(X^{(n)})^k = (C_{k/2} + o(1)) n^{k/2+1} \leq (2 + o(1)) n^{k/2+1}$

want: $\|X^{(n)}\|_2 \leq (2 + \epsilon)\sqrt{n}$, take k s.t. $n^{k/2} \leq (1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}) n^{k/2+1}$; $k = C \log n$.

need be careful: error term $o(1)$ can be subtle; can be large without k -th moment bound.

Stieltjes transform

Given a measure μ on \mathbb{R} , define its Stieltjes transform S_μ on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \text{supp}(\mu)$ as

$S_\mu(z) = \int \frac{d\mu(x)}{z-x}$

Property: $S_\mu(z) = S_\mu(\bar{z})$, $|\text{Im} S_\mu(z)| \leq \frac{\mu(\mathbb{R})}{|\text{Im} z|}$

Formally (or for $|z|$ large), $S_\mu(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\int \lambda^k d\mu(\lambda)}{z^{k+1}}$ generate moments at $z = \infty$

Example: For $\mu_{sc} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{4-x^2} dx$ supported on $[-2, 2]$

$S_{\mu_{sc}}(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-2}^2 \frac{\sqrt{4-x^2}}{z-x} dx = \frac{-z + \sqrt{z^2-4}}{2}$, for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [-2, 2]$

Example: For $n \times n$ matrix X with eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$, $\mu_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{\lambda_i}$ (symmetric/Hermitian)

$S_{\mu_n}(z) = \frac{1}{n} \text{tr} \left(\frac{1}{z} X - 2I_n \right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_i - z}$

Why might Stieltjes transform be better than moments? Capture μ very well.

For $z = a + ib$ ($b > 0$)

$\text{Im} \frac{1}{z} = \frac{1}{2i} \left(\frac{1}{x-a+ib} - \frac{1}{x-a-ib} \right) = \frac{b}{(x-a)^2 + b^2} > 0$

$\Rightarrow \text{Im}(S_\mu(a+ib)) = \int \frac{b d\mu(x)}{(x-a)^2 + b^2} = \pi (A + P_b)(a)$

$P_b(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{b}{x^2 + b^2} = \frac{1}{b} P(\frac{x}{b})$

condition: average at scale b at location a .

$\Rightarrow \text{Im}(S_\mu(a+ib)) \rightarrow \pi \mu$ as $b \rightarrow 0^+$ (in vague topology)

Using these it can be shown that vague topology conv. is equiv. to Stieltjes transform conv.

(Stieltjes continuity theorem) Let μ_n be a sequence of random Prob. measures on \mathbb{R} (i.e. $\mu_n \in \mathcal{M}_+(\mathbb{R})$)

Let μ be a deterministic prob. measure on \mathbb{R}

$\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$ in vague topology (i.e. $\int f d\mu_n \rightarrow \int f d\mu$ for $f \in C_c$) in expectation / in probability / almost surely

iff $S_{\mu_n}(z) \rightarrow S_\mu(z)$ for any $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$, in expectation / in probability / almost surely.

Next: use these to give an alternative proof of semi-circle law.

For $S_n(z) = S_{\mu_n}(z) = \frac{1}{n} \text{tr} \left(\frac{1}{z} X^{(n)} - 2I_n \right)^{-1}$

$\mathbb{E} S_n(z)$ concentrates around $\mathbb{E} S_{\mu_{sc}}(z)$

$\mathbb{E} S_n(z) \approx \frac{1}{z + \mathbb{E} S_n(z)}$

(Assuming these, can solve $\mathbb{E} S_n(z) \approx \frac{-z + \sqrt{z^2-4}}{2}$; since $S_n(z) \sim \frac{1}{z}$ as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$, $S_n(z) = \frac{-z + \sqrt{z^2-4}}{2}$)

(Does not need to know the limiting law μ_{sc} a priori!)

For \mathbb{Q} (Cauchy interlacing law) $X^{(n)}$ $n \times n$ Hermitian/symmetric matrix

$X^{(n)}$ upper-left $n \times n$ corner

$\lambda_1^{(n)} \geq \lambda_1^{(n-1)} \geq \lambda_2^{(n)} \geq \lambda_2^{(n-1)} \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{n-1}^{(n)} \geq \lambda_{n-1}^{(n-1)}$

Use Cauchy-Fisher minmax theorem: for $X^{(n)}$ being $n \times n$ Hermitian/symmetric

$\lambda_1^{(n)} = \sup_{\substack{u \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ \|u\|_2=1}} \inf_{\substack{v \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \\ \|v\|_2=1}} u^* X^{(n)} u$

$= \inf_{\substack{v \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \\ \|v\|_2=1}} \sup_{\substack{u \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ \|u\|_2=1}} u^* X^{(n)} u$

Then by interlacing, for $z = a + ib \in \mathbb{C}_+$

$\left| \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda_i^{(n)} \delta_{\lambda_i^{(n)}}}{(\lambda_i^{(n)} - z)^2 + b^2} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i^{(n-1)} \delta_{\lambda_i^{(n-1)}}}{(\lambda_i^{(n-1)} - z)^2 + b^2} \right| = O(1/n)$

$\Rightarrow S_n(z) - S_{n-1}(z) = O(1/n)$

In words: changing the n -th row and n -th column alters $S_n(z)$ by $O(1/n)$

By symmetry, changing any row / column alters $S_n(z)$ by $O(1/n)$

$\Rightarrow \mathbb{P}(|S_n(z) - \mathbb{E} S_n(z)| > \beta) \leq \exp(-\frac{\beta^2}{n}) = \exp(-\beta^2 / C)$ (McDiarmid's inequality)

$\Rightarrow |S_n(z) - \mathbb{E} S_n(z)| = O(1/n)$

For \mathbb{Q} : $\mathbb{E} S_n(z) = \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E} \text{tr}(X^{(n)} / n - 2I_n)^{-1} = \mathbb{E} \left[(X^{(n)} / n - 2I_n)^{-1} \right]_{nn}$ (n, n entry of the resolvent matrix)

$X^{(n)} = \begin{pmatrix} X^{(n-1)} & u \\ u^* & X_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$

linear algebra: $\mathbb{E} S_n(z) = \mathbb{E} \frac{1}{z - \frac{X_{nn}}{n} + \frac{1}{n} u^* (X^{(n-1)} / n - 2I_{n-1})^{-1} u}$

Note: $\mathbb{P}(|u^* R u - \mathbb{E} u^* R u| > \beta) \leq \exp(-\beta^2 / n)$ (by concentration inequality applied to $(u^* R u)$; Exercise)

R : given deterministic, positive semi-def. $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix, with operator norm $\|R\|_2 = 1$.

$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{n} u^* (X^{(n-1)} / n - 2I_{n-1})^{-1} u$ concentrates around its expectation $= \mathbb{E} \text{tr} \left(X^{(n-1)} / n - 2I_{n-1} \right)^{-1} = \mathbb{E} \frac{1}{z - \frac{X_{nn}^{(n-1)}}{n-1}} = \mathbb{E} S_{n-1}(z) + O(1/n)$

$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E} S_n(z) = -\frac{1}{z + \mathbb{E} S_n(z)} + O(1/n)$

Sample covariance matrix & Marcenko-Pastur law

X : $p \times n$ matrix, iid, $\mathbb{E} X_{ij} = 0$, $\text{Var} X_{ij} = \mathbb{E} |X_{ij}|^2 = 1$ Application: data analysis, test independence

$\frac{1}{n} X X^T$ (or $\frac{1}{p} X X^T$) sample covariance matrix, has eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_p$ (of order p)

$n \rightarrow \infty$, $\frac{p}{n} \rightarrow \lambda \in (0, \infty)$

(Marcenko-Pastur) $\frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^p \delta_{\lambda_i} \rightarrow \mu_{MP}^\lambda$ in vague topology in expectation / in probability / almost surely

where $0 < \lambda < 1$, $\mu_{MP}^\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{(1-\lambda)(1+\lambda)}{4\lambda}}$, $\lambda_{\pm} = (1 \pm \lambda)^2$

(1) $\lambda < 1$, $\mu_{MP}^\lambda = \frac{1}{2\lambda} \sqrt{4-\lambda}$

(2) $\lambda > 1$, $\mu_{MP}^\lambda = \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{\lambda-1}^{\lambda+1} \frac{dx}{2\lambda}$, $\lambda_{\pm} = (\lambda \pm 1)^2$

How to prove?

Moments also work: $\int \lambda^k d\mu_{MP}^\lambda(\lambda) = \frac{1}{k} \binom{k}{(k/2)}$

derive using Stieltjes transform $S_n(z) = \frac{1}{p} \text{tr} \left(\frac{X X^T}{n} - zI_p \right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{p} \text{tr} \left(X X^T / n - zI_p \right)^{-1}$

Linearize: $Y = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & X^T / n \\ X^T / n & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ has eigenvalues $\pm \lambda_1, \pm \lambda_2, \dots, \pm \lambda_p$ and $n-p$ zeros. ($\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p$ singular values of X)

$(Y - zI)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -2I_n & X^T / n \\ X^T / n & -2I_p \end{bmatrix}^{-1}$; $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_i - z} = \text{tr} \left(\frac{X X^T}{n} - zI_n \right)^{-1} = 2p S_n(z) = \frac{n-p}{z}$

Similar to semi-circle case: $(Y - zI)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -2I_n & 0 & X^T / n \\ 0 & -2I_p & X^T / n \\ X^T / n & X^T / n & -2I_p \end{bmatrix}^{-1}$, $X = \begin{bmatrix} u & X^{(n)} \end{bmatrix}$

via linear algebra: $(Y - zI)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -2I_n & 0 & X^T / n \\ 0 & -2I_p & X^T / n \\ X^T / n & X^T / n & -2I_p \end{bmatrix}^{-1}$

concentrate around its mean (same as in sc)

$\frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E} \text{tr} \left(\frac{X^{(n)} X^{(n)T}}{n} - zI_n \right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E} \text{tr} \left(\frac{X^{(n)} X^{(n)T}}{n} - zI_n \right)^{-1} = \mathbb{E} \frac{1}{z - \frac{X_{nn}^{(n)}}{n}} = \mathbb{E} S_{n-1}(z) + O(1/n)$

interlacing of eigenvalues (this is a different interlacing: eigenvalues of A and $A + \text{rank} 1$ interlace, for symmetric A) in $n \times n$ matrix (as in semi-circle, interlacing also gives concentration of $S_n(z)$ around $\mathbb{E} S_n(z)$)

$\Rightarrow \frac{p}{n} \mathbb{E} S_n(z) = \frac{n-p}{2n} = \frac{1}{-z - \frac{p}{n} \mathbb{E} S_n(z)} + O(1/n)$

let $w = \frac{p}{n} \mathbb{E} S_n(z)$, and solve $n \rightarrow \infty$

$\Rightarrow \lambda w S(w) + \lambda - 1 = \frac{1}{-z - \lambda w S(w)}$

$\Rightarrow S(w) = \frac{-\omega \lambda + 1 \pm \sqrt{(\omega \lambda - 1)^2 - 4 \lambda \omega S(w)^2}}{2 \lambda \omega}$

take $+$ to match $S(w) \rightarrow \mu_{MP}^\lambda(\omega)$ as $w \rightarrow \infty$

check: $\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \text{tr} S(w) \rightarrow \mu_{MP}^\lambda(\omega)$ as $w \rightarrow \infty$