

RAGE theorem

Tuesday, March 31, 2026 1:35 PM

For dynamics, we consider $\langle \psi, e^{-itH} \phi \rangle$ (*)

Why? $e^{-itH} \phi$ is the wave at time t , starting from ϕ at time 0.

Take $\psi = \delta_x$ for some $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\Rightarrow |\langle \psi, e^{-itH} \phi \rangle|^2 = \text{PP}[\text{particle at } x]$

From Spectral Theorem, we can write (*) as $\int e^{-itE} dM_{\psi, \phi}^*(E)$ (F: $x \mapsto e^{-itx}$ is in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$)

i.e. we get the Fourier transform of $M_{\psi, \phi}^*$!

Claim $M_{\psi, \phi}^*$ is abs. cont. w.r.t. M_ϕ , and $h := \frac{dM_{\psi, \phi}^*}{dM_\phi} \in L^2(M_\phi)$, with $\int |h|^2 dM_\phi \leq \|\psi\|_2$

For this, we note that $|M_{\psi, \phi}^*(I)|^2 \leq M_\psi(I) M_\phi(I)$, for each I .
 For any $z \in \mathbb{C}$, we have $M_{\psi, \phi}^* + z M_\psi + \bar{z} M_\phi + |z|^2 M_\phi \geq 0$
 $\Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} M_\psi & M_{\psi, \phi}^* \\ M_{\psi, \phi} & M_\phi \end{pmatrix}$ pos. semi-def $\xrightarrow{M_{\psi, \phi}^*}$ uses

$\Rightarrow M_{\psi, \phi}^* \ll M_\phi$; Let h be the Radon-Nikodym derivative.

For any $\varphi \in L^2(M_\phi)$, $|\int \varphi h dM_\phi|^2 = |\int \varphi dM_{\psi, \phi}^*|^2 \leq \int |\varphi|^2 dM_\phi \cdot \int 1 dM_\psi = \|\varphi\|_2^2(M_\phi) \cdot \|\psi\|_2^2$
 $\Rightarrow \varphi \mapsto \int \varphi h dM_\phi$ is a bounded functional $\Rightarrow h \in L^2(M_\phi)$, $\int |h|^2 dM_\phi \leq \|\psi\|_2^2$
Riesz representation

Now for (*), as $t \rightarrow \infty$, only pure point part of $M_{\psi, \phi}$ matters.

(Wiener) $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T |(*)|^2 dt = \sum_{E \in \text{supp}(M_{\psi, \phi}^{\text{pp}})} |M_{\psi, \phi}^{\text{pp}}(E\delta)|^2 = \sum_{E \in \text{supp}(M_\phi^{\text{pp}})} |M_\phi^{\text{pp}}(E\delta)| \cdot |h(E)|^2$

proof. $\hookrightarrow = \frac{1}{T} \int \int_0^T e^{-itE + itE'} dt dM_{\psi, \phi}^*(E) d\overline{M_{\psi, \phi}^*(E')} \xrightarrow{T \rightarrow \infty} \int \int \delta_{E, E'} dM_{\psi, \phi}^*(E) d\overline{M_{\psi, \phi}^*(E')} = \text{RHS}$

Can also bound the decay of (*) in time, if no pure point.

(Stieltjes-Least) Suppose that M_ϕ is α -Hölder, i.e., $M_\phi(I) \leq C|I|^\alpha$ for constant $C > 0$.

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T |(*)|^2 dt \leq \frac{C_\psi \|\psi\|_2^2}{T^\alpha}$$

proof $\hookrightarrow \leq \frac{C_\psi}{T} \int \int e^{-\frac{t^2}{T^2} |h(E) - h(E')|^2} \cdot e^{-itE + itE'} dt dM_\psi^*(E) d\overline{M_\psi^*(E')}$
 $\leq C_\psi \int \int |h(E)|^2 \cdot e^{-\frac{t^2}{4} |E - E'|^2} dM_\psi^*(E) d\overline{M_\psi^*(E')}$
 integrate E' give $\frac{C_\psi}{T^\alpha}$; note $\int |h(E)|^2 dM_\psi^*(E) \leq \|\psi\|_2^2$

Ruelle-Amrein-Georgescu-Euse

For self-adj H in \mathcal{H} ,

$$\text{Then } \mathcal{H}^c = \{ \psi \in \mathcal{H} \mid \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \|P_n e^{-itH} \psi\|^2 dt = 0 \} \quad (1)$$

($\mathcal{H}^c = \mathcal{H}^{\text{pp}} \oplus \mathcal{H}^{\text{sc}}$)

$$\mathcal{H}^{\text{pp}} = \{ \psi \in \mathcal{H} \mid \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|(I - P_n) e^{-itH} \psi\| = 0 \} \quad (2)$$

Here P_1, P_2, \dots is a seq. of compact operators, s.t. $\|(P_n I) \psi\| \rightarrow 0$ for any $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$.
(strong convergence of operators)

\downarrow
 can be approximated by finite rank operators.

proof. If $\psi \in \mathcal{H}^c$, by Wiener, $\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \|P e^{-itH} \psi\|^2 dt \rightarrow 0$ for each compact operator P .
 (by first consider $P =$ finite rank, then approximate)

If $\psi \in \mathcal{H}^{\text{pp}}$, write $\psi = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \psi_i$, each is eigenfunction (not normalised)

\Rightarrow each ψ_i has $\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|(I - P_n) e^{-itH} \psi_i\| = \|(I - P_n) \psi_i\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$; then so is the sum
(using $\sup_n \|P_n\| < \infty$)

Now for any $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$, write $\psi = \psi^c + \psi^{\text{pp}}$
 the conditions in (1) and (2) contradict each other $\Rightarrow \psi^c$ has (1), not (2) \Rightarrow if ψ has (1), must $\psi^{\text{pp}} = 0$
 ψ^{pp} has (2), not (1) \Rightarrow if ψ has (2), must $\psi^c = 0$.